

# Designing Games That Don't Capture

## Introduction

Most systems that start out functional eventually become traps. Not because participants behave badly, but because the system silently *captures* them—locking identity, incentives, and reputation into a single, sticky context.

People don't burn out because they want to switch contexts. They burn out because switching contexts is treated as failure.

The solution is not commitment shaming or grit narratives. The solution is **better game design**.

Games that don't capture are designed around roles, seasons, exits, and a healthy meta-game. This essay breaks down how to design such systems—and why most modern institutions get it wrong.

---

## Part I: Role Design

### What a Role Actually Is

A role is not an identity. It is a **bounded set of behaviors expected within a specific game**.

Good roles answer:

- What actions are expected?
- What constraints apply?
- How is success evaluated?
- When does this role end?

Bad roles answer none of these and instead rely on titles or vague identity claims.

---

### Behavioral Expectations vs. Identity Claims

Healthy games define roles behaviorally:

- "Score goals"
- "Review pull requests"
- "Teach three seminars"

Unhealthy systems define roles identity-first:

- "Be a leader"
- "Own the vision"
- "Act like a founder"

Identity-based roles expand infinitely. Behavioral roles terminate cleanly.

---

### Entry and Exit Rituals

Roles need **ritualized entry and exit** to prevent identity bleed.

Entry rituals:

- Clarify scope
- Signal commitment
- Reset expectations

Exit rituals:

- Mark completion
- Transfer knowledge

- Preserve reputation

Without exits, roles metastasize.

---

## Case Study: Why Sports Roles Work

In sports:

- Roles are explicit
- Time-bound
- Observable
- Non-totalizing

A player can leave the field without losing personhood.

---

## Case Study: Why Job Roles Fail

In many organizations:

- Roles blur
- Scope expands endlessly
- Exit equals failure

The role consumes the person.

---

## Part II: Season Structure

### Why Games Need Seasons

Seasons create:

- Temporal boundaries
- Learning windows
- Clean comparison points

Without seasons, systems never resolve.

---

### Optimal Season Lengths

Different games require different tempos:

- Skill-building games: short, frequent seasons
- Performance games: medium, high-stakes seasons
- Coordination games: longer, slower cycles

Overlong seasons cause drift. Over-short seasons cause thrash.

---

### Mid-Season Learning

A core design choice:

- **Stable rules** favor fairness and comparability
- **Evolving rules** favor learning and adaptation

Mixing the two mid-season destroys trust.

Learning should be logged, not retroactively applied.

---

### End-of-Season Ceremonies

Endings matter.

Good closures:

- Final scorekeeping
- Public acknowledgment
- Explicit transitions

Without ceremony, people cling.

---

## Case Studies

- **Academic semesters:** strong seasons, weak exits
- **Sports leagues:** strong seasons, strong exits
- **YC batches:** strong entry, strong seasonality, ambiguous exits

---

## Part III: Exit Mechanics

### Why Most Exits Are Toxic

Systems treat exit as:

- Disloyalty
- Failure
- Loss

This incentivizes quiet quitting and reputational warfare.

---

### Designing for Expected Turnover

Healthy systems assume exit.

Design principles:

- Exit paths documented at entry
- No penalty for clean completion
- Status preserved post-exit

---

### Handoff Documentation as Game Artifact

Handoffs are not bureaucracy—they are **scorecards of contribution**.

Good handoffs:

- Encode learning
- Transfer context
- Signal competence

They convert departure into value.

---

### Alumni Networks as Proof

Alumni networks work because:

- Identity persists without obligation
- Reputation travels without capture
- Participation is optional

They are exit mechanics, not retention hacks.

---

## Part IV: The Meta-Game

## Reputation as a Stack of Roles

In non-capturing systems, reputation is not a fixed label. It is a **portfolio of completed roles**.

Each role adds evidence.

---

## Trading Cards as Portable Proof

Think of roles as trading cards:

- Scope defined
- Time-bound
- Outcomes visible

They travel between games without rewriting identity.

---

## Versatility vs. Focus

Versatility is often punished because systems cannot distinguish:

- Strategic switching
- Flailing

Good games make the difference legible.

---

## Why Flickering Becomes Valuable

When roles, seasons, and exits are well-designed:

- Switching signals learning
- Breadth compounds
- Identity loosens without dissolving

Flickering stops being instability and becomes **adaptive intelligence**.

---

## Conclusion

The problem is not that people want to switch contexts.

The problem is that current infrastructure treats context-switching as failure.

Design better games, and what looks like fragility becomes resilience.